UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,956	01/26/2006	Yusai Murakami	16169.25.6	8450
WORKMAN N	7590 02/06/2008		EXAM	INER
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE			· RAMADAN, RAMY O	
•	1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,	·	2838 .		
	Ü.			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		74			
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/565,956	MURAKAMI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit .			
·	RAMY RAMADAN	2838			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are provided by the office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION.  Exply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	January 2006.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	ccepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	-				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the f	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		plication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	iority documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea	· · · ·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not re	eceived.			
	•				
Attachment(s)	•				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	ımmary (PTO-413)			
P) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/565,956 Page 2

Art Unit: 2838

. . 3

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- I. the embodiment illustrated in figures 1 and 2, and
- II. the embodiment illustrated in figures 4 and 5.

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a

Application/Control Number: 10/565,956

Art Unit: 2838

claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RAMY RAMADAN whose telephone number is (571) 272-9761. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 am-5:00 pm.

Art Unit: 2838

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Akm Ullah can be reached on (571) 272-2361. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ramy Ramadan Examiner Art Unit 2838

/R. R./ 2/1/2008

/Gary L. Laxton/
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2838